Case 1:07-cv-09016-AKH	Document 1	Filed 10/05/2007	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	K		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
JAMES BERGHORN AND MAUREEN BERG	HORN	DOCKET NO.	
Plai	intiffs,	CHECK-OFF (''SHO COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLA	E
- against -			MAND A TRIAL BY
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., SEE ATTACHED RIDER,		JUKI	
Defe	endants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alv 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master C			
	NOTICE OF	ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth he Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These and specific case information is set forth,	nerein in addition se are marked wi	n to those paragraphs th an '☑" if applicable	specific to the individual
DI ' .'CC TANEC DEDCHODA	ANDMALIDEE	NI DED CHODNI I 1	

Plaintiffs, JAMES BERGHORN AND MAUREEN BERGHORN, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully

allege:

## I. PARTIES

### A. PLAINTIFF(S)

and a citizen	of New York residing at 153		e "Injured Plaintiff"), is an individual rrick, NY 11566
	_	(OR)	
2.	Alternatively, $\square$	is the	of Decedent
	, and brings this claim	in his (her) capacity as	of the Estate of
	Please	read this document careful	llv.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

	Please read this doc	ument carefully.	
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.		
Was exposed to and absorbed or touched toxic or caustic substances o the site(s) indicated above;			
Was exposed to and inhaled or ingested toxic substances and particulates on all dates at the site(s) indicated above;			
Was exposed to and breathed noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated above;			
5.	Injured Plaintiff		
		apper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.	
The Fresh Kills Landfill  From on or about until;  Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.		From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:	
The World Trade Center Site Location(s) (i.e., building, quadrant, etc.)  From on or about _9/11/2001_ until _4/1/2002_; Approximately _10_ hours per day; for Approximately _60_ days total.  The New York City Medical Examiner's Office From on or about until, Approximately hours per day; for Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.		Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:	
		Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total	
		The Barge  From on or about;	
F	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations	
4. New York (Fl	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 4/1/20 DNY) as a Fire Fighter at:	002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Fire Department	
J	SPOUSE at all relevant times he JAMES BERGHORN, and bringing injuries sustained by her husba	nerein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiffings this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the nd (his wife), Plaintiff JAMES BERGHORN.	
3. York residing Injured Plaint	at 153 Woodbine Avenue, Merrick, NY	sinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New 11566-, and has the following relationship to the	
Cas	se 1:07-cv-09016-AKH Document	t 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 2 of 11	

2 of 9

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

6.

Injure	d Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$ , the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

#### B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 6/20/07 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on (OR)	INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
required by General Municipal Law \$50-h	✓ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
	HAULING, LLC, INC.
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	✓ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i> ) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
is pending	$\square$ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
$\square$ the PORT AUTHORITY has	$\square$ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ EJ DAVIES, INC.
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP □ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	HET ENVIRONMENTAL  FVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

#### 

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	-
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

# Case 1:07-cv-09016-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

	bunded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specification Act of 2001, (or);   Federal Officers:  Contested, b	Jurisd			
removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.					
	III CAUSES OF ACTION				
Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above named defendants based upon the following theories of liability, and asserts each element necessary to establish such a claim under the applicable substantive law:					
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	<b>V</b>	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation		
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		<ul> <li>✓ Air Quality;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided;</li> <li>☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided</li> </ul>		
<b>V</b>	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:);  ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined		
<b>V</b>	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death		
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff		
		П	Other:		

## Case 1:07-cv-09016-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

 $\checkmark$ 

Cancer Injury: N/A.

 $\checkmark$ 

 $\checkmark$ 

rehabilitation

✓ Mental anguish✓ Disability

Other:

Date of onset:

Cardiovascular Injury: Chest Pain

Date of onset: To be supplied at a later

	Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:			date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
V	Respiratory Injury: Cough; Sarcoidosis; Shortness of Breath; Wheezing Date of onset: 4/26/2002 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		✓	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 4/26/2002 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		V	Other Injury: Skin Rash; Sleeping Problems Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.  2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:				
==== <b>V</b>	Pain and suffering		====	✓ Medical monitoring ✓ Other: Not yet determined.
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life			Other. Not yet determined.
<b>V</b>	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity			
V	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits			

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Expenses for medical care, treatment, and

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

 $Plaintiff(s) \ demands \ that \ all \ issues \ of \ fact \ in \ this \ case \ be \ tried \ before \ a \ properly \ empanelled \ jury.$ 

Dated: New York, New York September 28, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), James Berghorn and Maureen Berghorn

By: \_\_\_\_

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

#### ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York September 28, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket	No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
	JAMES BERGHORN (AND WIFE, MAUREEN BERGHORN),			
	Plaintiff(s) - against -			
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,			
	Defendant(s).			
====	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT			
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP  Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s)  Office and Post Office Address, Telephone  115 Broadway - 12th Floor  New York, New York 10006  (212) 267-3700			
	To Attorney(s) for			
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.  Dated,			
====	Attorney(s) for			
]	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:			
I	NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20			
I	That an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M.  Dated,  Yours, etc.,  WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP			